Numerical methods

Approximation of functions

OUTLINE

- 1. Approximation and interpolation
- 2. Least-square method
 - basis functions
 - design matrix
 - residual
 - weighted least squares
 - normal equation
 - Gramian matrix
 - examples
 - solution of overdetermined systems
- 3. Repetition

Approximation and interpolation

To approximate function f(x) means to substitute it by a function $\varphi(x)$, which is in some sense close to function f(x).

We will deal with two basic types of approximation: interpolation and least-square method

Definition: Interpolation is such approximation,

in which the function $\varphi(x)$ goes exactly through given points $[x_i, y_i]$, where $y_i = f(x_i)$.

Sometimes we also require that functions f and φ have the same derivatives in points x_i . Approximation and interpolation

To approximate function f(x) means to substitute it by a function $\varphi(x)$, which is in some sense close to function f(x).

We will deal with two basic types of approximation: interpolation and least-square method

Definition: Least-square method is such approximation, in which $\varphi(x)$ is "interlaced" between given points $[x_i, y_i]$ in such a way, that the "distance" between functions f and φ is in some sense minimal. Usually the function $\varphi(x)$ does not go through points $[x_i, y_i]$.

Lecture 6

OUTLINE

- 1. Approximation and interpolation
- 2. Least-square method
 - basis functions
 - design matrix
 - residual
 - weighted least squares
 - normal equation
 - Gramian matrix
 - examples
 - solution of overdetermined systems
- 3. Repetition

means procedure for approximate solution of overdetermined equations or inaccurately defined linear systems based on minimization of quadrate of residuals

Curve fitting is an important group of problem, which could be solved by least-square method We will describe what is it about. Let t is an independent variable, e.g. time, and y(t) is an unknown function of variable t we want to approximate.

Suppose that we performed *m* **measurements**, i.e. values *y* were measured for specified values of *t*:

$$y_i = y(t_i), \qquad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m.$$

Our aim is to model y(t)using linear combination of *n* basis functions for some $n \le m$:

 $y(t) \approx x_1\varphi_1(t) + x_2\varphi_2(t) + \cdots + x_n\varphi_n(t) := R_n(t).$

We propose basis function based on expected course of unknown function y(t). Then we have to estimate parameters x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n . Function $R_n(t)$ is called (in statistics) **linear regression function**.

Design matrix

Design matrix A of a model is an rectangular matrix, which has *m* rows and *n* columns: $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(t_1) & \varphi_2(t_1) & \dots & \varphi_n(t_1) \\ \varphi_1(t_2) & \varphi_2(t_2) & \dots & \varphi_n(t_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \varphi_1(t_m) & \varphi_2(t_m) & \dots & \varphi_n(t_m) \end{pmatrix} \equiv (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n),$ where $\varphi_i = (\varphi_i(t_1), \varphi_i(t_2), \dots, \varphi_i(t_m))^T$ is *i*-th column of **A**. Matrix formulation of a model is $\mathbf{v} \approx \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$. where $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)^T$ are measured data and

 $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T$ is a vector of unknown parameters.

Least-square method

Residuals are differences between measured and modelled data:

$$r_i = y_i - R_n(t_i) = y_i - \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi_j(t_i) x_j \equiv y_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where $a_{ij} = \varphi_j(t_i)$.

In matrix form

$$\mathsf{r}=\mathsf{y}-\mathsf{A}\mathsf{x}$$
 .

We want to determine the parameters x_j in such a way, that the residual will be minimal.

> We can derive least-square method by solving quadratic minimization problem:

$$\|\mathbf{r}\|^2 := \sum_{i=1}^m r_i^2 \to \min.$$



Principle of least squares

Approximate solution of overdetermined system A x = y (i.e. we have more equations than unknowns), which minimize the residual r = y – Ax, is called solution of linear system by least squares.

Sometimes we have to use weighted linear least squares:

If measurements are not equally reliable, then we can assign to each measurement the weight $w_i > 0$ and then we minimize sum of weighted quadrates $\|\mathbf{r}\|_w^2 := \sum_{i=1}^m w_i r_i^2 \to \min$.

If, e.g. the error of *i*-th measurement is approximately equal to e_i , we will choose $w_i = 1/e_i$.

Each method for solving unweighted LSM is possible to use also for weighted LSM: it is enough to multiply y_i and *i*-th row of **A** by $\sqrt{W_i}$.

Lecture 6

OUTLINE

- 1. Approximation and interpolation
- 2. Least-square method
 - basis functions
 - design matrix
 - residual
 - weighted least squares
 - normal equation
 - Gramian matrix
 - examples
 - solution of overdetermined systems
- 3. Repetition

Normal equations

Solution of minimization problem

$$\|\mathbf{r}\|^2 := \sum_{i=1}^m r_i^2 \to \min$$

have to fulfill the necessary condition for extrema:

$$\frac{\partial \|\mathbf{r}\|^2}{\partial x_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(y_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \right)^2 = 0, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

After derivation we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \|\mathbf{r}\|^2}{\partial x_k} = 2\sum_{i=1}^m \left(y_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \right) (-a_{ik}) = 0$$

and then

$$\sum_{\substack{j=1\\ \text{which could be written in matrix form as } \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y}}^m a_{ik} y_i, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

Normal equations

Linear system
$$\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y}$$
 is known as **normal equations**.

If the columns of matrix **A** are linearly independent, then the matrix $\mathbf{G} := \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$ is positive definite and solution \mathbf{x}^* of normal equations is the only solution of minimization problem, i.e. it holds $\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^*\|^2 = \min \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|^2$.

If we express the normal equations
using vectors
$$\varphi_i$$
, we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\varphi_1, \varphi_1) & (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) & \dots & (\varphi_1, \varphi_n) \\ (\varphi_2, \varphi_1) & (\varphi_2, \varphi_2) & \dots & (\varphi_2, \varphi_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ (\varphi_n, \varphi_1) & (\varphi_n, \varphi_2) & (\varphi_n, \varphi_n) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\varphi_1, \mathbf{y}) \\ (\varphi_2, \mathbf{y}) \\ \vdots \\ (\varphi_n, \mathbf{y}) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$(\varphi_k, \varphi_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_k(t_i) \varphi_j(t_i)$$
 and $(\varphi_k, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_k(t_i) y_i$

are scalar products of vectors $oldsymbol{arphi}_k, oldsymbol{arphi}_i$ and $oldsymbol{arphi}_k, oldsymbol{y}$.

Matrix **G** of this system is called **Gramian matrix** of a set of vectors $\varphi_j, j = 1, 2, ..., n$.

```
During the design of approximation R_n(t)
we should select functions \varphi_i(t) in such a way,
that columns \varphi_i of matrix A to be linearly independent.
If it to be the contrary
than it is possible to show that
the minimization problem
has infinite number of solutions
which is obviously not desirable.
```

Two important special cases,

for which the columns of matrix **A** are linearly independent:

- 1. $\varphi_j(t)$ is a polynomial of degree j-1, e.g. $\varphi_j(t) = t^{j-1}$, j = 1, 2, ..., n;
- 2. for n=2N+1, where N is a whole nonnegative integer, we chose

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(t) &= 1, \\ \varphi_{2k}(t) &= \cos kt, \\ \varphi_{2k+1}(t) &= \sin kt, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N, \end{aligned}$$

and "time of measurement" t_i we chose from interval $(c, c + 2\pi)$, where c is an arbitrary number.

Approximation $R_n(t)$ is in the first case an algebraic polynomial and in the second case a trigonometric polynomial.

If m=n and the matrix **A** is regular, then $\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0}$, i.e. $R_n(t_i) = y_i, i = 1, 2, ..., m$. However if measured data y_i contains errors, then it is not practical, that function $R_n(t)$ follows those errors. On the contrary, we want that $R_n(t)$ authentically reconstructs the unknown function y(t), therefore it is desirable, that $R_n(t)$ smooths measured data. This is possible only if the number of measurements *m* is much larger than the number of design parameters n_{i} i.e. for $m \gg n$.

Lecture 6

OUTLINE

- 1. Approximation and interpolation
- 2. Least-square method
 - basis functions
 - design matrix
 - residual
 - weighted least squares
 - normal equation
 - Gramian matrix
 - examples
 - solution of overdetermined systems
- 3. Repetition

For data given by the table

ti	0	0,5	1	1,5	2	2,5	3
Уi	3,57	2,99	2,62	2,33	2,22	2,10	2,05

estimate an approximation $R_2(t) = x_1 + x_2 e^{-t}$ using least squares.

Obviously
$$arphi_1(t)=1$$
 and $arphi_2(t)=e^{-t}$.

Normal equations are

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{7} 1 \cdot 1 & \sum_{i=1}^{7} 1 \cdot e^{-t_i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{7} e^{-t_i} \cdot 1 & \sum_{i=1}^{7} e^{-t_i} \cdot e^{-t_i} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{7} 1 \cdot y_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^{7} e^{-t_i} \cdot y_i \end{pmatrix}$$

For data given by the table

ti	0	0,5	1	1,5	2	2,5	3
Уi	3,57	2,99	2,62	2,33	2,22	2,10	2,05

estimate an approximation $R_2(t) = x_1 + x_2 e^{-t}$ using least squares.

After computations of sums, we get system $\begin{pmatrix}7 & 2,4647\\2,4647 & 1,5805\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}17,88\\7,4422\end{pmatrix},$ which solution is $x_1 \doteq 1,9879$ and $x_2 \doteq 1,6087$. Seeking approximation is $R_2(t) \doteq 1,99 + 1,61e^{-t}$ and $\|\mathbf{r}\| \doteq 0,0651$.

For data given by the table

ti	0	0,5	1	1,5	2	2,5	3
Уi	3,57	2,99	2,62	2,33	2,22	2,10	2,05

gradually we will approximate the data by polynomials of the first, second and third degree.

As basis functions we chose

$$\varphi_j(t) = t^{j-1}, j = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ and } n = 2, 3, 4.$$

Polynomial of first degree

For $\varphi_1(t) = 1$ and $\varphi_2(t) = t$ we obtain normal equations $\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 10,5 \\ 10,5 & 22,75 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 17,88 \\ 23,45 \end{pmatrix}$, which has solution

which has solution

$$x_1 \doteq 3,28$$
 and $x_2 \doteq -0,48$ therefore
 $R_2(t) \doteq 3,28 - 0,48t$ $\|\mathbf{r}\| \doteq 0,4756.$

Approximation by linear polynomial is not good.

Polynomial of second degree

Normal equations $\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 10,5 & 22,75 \\ 10,5 & 22,75 & 55,125 \\ 22,75 & 55,125 & 142,1875 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 17,88 \\ 23,45 \\ 49,0625 \end{pmatrix}$ have solution $x_1 \doteq 3,53, x_2 \doteq -1,09 , x_3 \doteq 0,20,$ $R_3(t) \doteq 3,53 - 1,09t + 0,2t^2 , \|\mathbf{r}\| \doteq 0,1006.$

> The residual is smaller but still large than in the previous example.

Polynomial of the third degree

Normal equations

(7	10,5	22,75	55,125	$\left(x_{1}\right)$		(17,88)
10,5	22,75	55,125	142,1875	<i>x</i> ₂		23,45
22,75	55,125	142,1875	381,2813	<i>X</i> 3	=	49,0625
55,125	142,1875	381,2813	1049,5469	$\left(x_{4} \right)$		116,78

have solution

 $x_1 \doteq 3,57$, $x_2 \doteq -1,35$, $x_3 \doteq 0,43$, $x_4 \doteq -0,05$,

 $R_4(t) \doteq 3,57 - 1,35t + 0,43t^2 - 0,05t^3$, $\|\mathbf{r}\| \doteq 0,0360$.

If we enlarge the degree of the polynomial, we could see that polynomial $R_7(t)$ of the sixth degree passes through all points $[t_i, y_i]$, so we will obtain interpolating polynomial.

Notice the elements of Gramian matrices: the larger degree, the larger maximal coefficient.

This means that the condition number of Gramian matrices is growing.

Table shows the condition numbers $\kappa_2(\mathbf{G})$:

п	2	3	4	5	6	7
$\kappa_2(\mathbf{G})$	16	$4,27 \cdot 10^{2}$	$1,91\cdot 10^4$	$1,20 \cdot 10^{6}$	$1,17\cdot 10^8$	$2,31\cdot10^{10}$

Lecture 6

OUTLINE

- 1. Approximation and interpolation
- 2. Least-square method
 - basis functions
 - design matrix
 - residual
 - weighted least squares
 - normal equation
 - Gramian matrix
 - examples
 - solution of overdetermined systems
- 3. Repetition

Normal equations are not suitable for solving large overdetermined systems because the condition number of Gramian matrix is considerably larger than condition number of design matrix **A**, because $\kappa_2(\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{A}) = [\kappa_2(\mathbf{A})]^2$.

> The better way is to use singular value decomposition or QR decomposition.

If the number of equations M is less than the number of unknowns N, or if M = N but equations are linearly dependent, then the system has no solution or it has more than one solution.

In the later case the space of solutions is given by a particular solution added to the any linear combination of N – $M\,$ vectors.

The task to find the space of solution for matrix ${\bf A}$ is possible to solve using singular value decomposition of matrix ${\bf A}$.

If the number of equations M is greater than the number of unknowns N, then in general there is no solution vector and the system is called **overdetermined**.

However, we could find a best "compromise" solution, which is "the closest" solution that satisfy all equations.

If "the closest" we define in a sense of least square, i.e. the sum of square of residuals is the smallest possible, then the overdetermined system is reduced to solvable problem called the **least square method**. Reduced system of equations could be written as system $N \ge N$ equation $\left(\mathbf{A}^T \cdot \mathbf{A} \right) \cdot \mathbf{x} = \left(\mathbf{A}^T \cdot \mathbf{b} \right)$.

This equations we call **normal equations** of a least square problem.

Singular value decomposition has many common features with the least square method, which we show later.

Direct solution of normal equations is in general not the best way to find a solution of least square problem.

SVD is based on the following theorem of linear algebra:

Each matrix ${\bf A}$ of type $M \ge N$,

which the number of rows M is greater or equal to the number of columns N, could be decomposed to a product of matrix with orthogonal columns ${f U}$ of type $M \times N$, diagonal matrix ${f W}$ of type $N \times N$

with positive or zero entries (singular values)

and transpose orthogonal matrix ${\bf V}$ of type $N \ge N$.





SVD could be done also if M < N.

In such a case the singular values w_j for j = M+1, ..., N are all zero

as well as corresponding columns of matrix $\ensuremath{\mathbf{U}}.$

There is a lot of algorithms of SVD, proven is subroutine svdcmp from Numerical Recipes.

Singular value decomposition for more equations than unknowns

If we have more equations than unknowns we are seeking solution in a least square sense.

We are solving system written as:





In this case usually it is not necessary set to zero values w_j however the unusually small values indicate that the data are not sensitive to some parameters. Another useful method for solving least squares is using QR decomposition of $m \times n$ matrix A of normal equations, with $m \ge n$.

QR decomposition is the product of an $m \times m$ unitary matrix Q and an $m \times n$ upper triangular matrix R which can be computed using e.g. the Gram–Schmidt process.

As the bottom (m-n) rows of matrix Rconsist entirely of zeroes, it is often useful to partition R, or both R and Q:

$$A=QR=Qiggl[egin{array}{c} R_1\ 0\ \end{array}iggr]=[\,Q_1,Q_2\,]iggr[egin{array}{c} R_1\ 0\ \end{array}iggr]=Q_1R_1,$$

where R_1 is an $n \times n$ upper triangular matrix, 0 is an $(m - n) \times n$ zero matrix, Q_1 is $m \times n$, Q_2 is $m \times (m - n)$, and Q_1 and Q_2 both have orthogonal columns.

Lecture 6

OUTLINE

- 1. Approximation and interpolation
- 2. Least-square method
 - basis functions
 - design matrix
 - residual
 - weighted least squares
 - normal equation
 - Gramian matrix
 - examples
 - solution of overdetermined systems
- 3. Repetition